

ASB Standard 040, First Edition
2018

**Standard for Forensic DNA Interpretation and
Comparison Protocols**

DRAFT



Standard for Forensic DNA Interpretation and Comparison Protocols

ASB Approved Xxxxx 2018

ANSI Approved Xxxxx 2018



410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

This document may be downloaded for free at: <http://asb.aafs.org/>

This document is provided by the AAFS Standards Board for free. You are permitted to print and download the document and extracts from the document for your own use, provided that:

- *you do not modify this document or its related graphics in any way;*
- *you do not use any illustrations or any graphics separately from any accompanying text; and,*
- *you include an acknowledgement alongside the copied material noting the AAFS Standards Board as the copyright holder and publisher.*

You expressly agree not to reproduce, duplicate, copy, sell, resell, or exploit for any commercial purposes, this document or any portion of it. You may create a hyperlink to <http://asb.aafs.org> to allow persons to download their individual, free copy of this document. Your hyperlink must not portray AAFS, the AAFS Standards Board, this document, our agents, associates and affiliates in an offensive manner, or be misleading or false. You may not use our trademarks as part of your link without our written agreement for you to do so.

The AAFS Standards Board retains the sole right to submit this document to any other forum for any purpose.

Certain commercial entities, equipment or materials may be identified in this document to describe a procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendations or endorsement by the AAFS or the AAFS Standards Board, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Foreword

Detailed and comprehensive DNA interpretation and comparison protocols are needed to ensure reliable and consistent interpretation and comparison of DNA data from single source and mixed DNA samples. Specific requirements for a laboratory's protocol for the interpretation and comparison of DNA data are provided. These requirements include distinguishing single source data from mixed data, defining assumptions that may be used, limitations of the interpretation methods and when data are unsuitable for interpretation based on the laboratory's internal validation studies, published scientific literature and other appropriate scientific resources where available. A requirement for a documented policy to ensure that evidentiary data are interpreted prior to comparison to known reference data is provided.

This document should be used in conjunction with the standards previously approved by the OSAC and submitted to the ASB, entitled "Standards for Validation Studies of DNA Mixtures and Development and Verification of a Laboratory's Mixture Interpretation Protocol" and "Validation Standards for Probabilistic Genotyping Systems."

This standard was revised, prepared, and finalized by the DNA Consensus Body of the AAFS Standards Board. The draft of this standard was developed by the Biology/DNA Biological Data Interpretation and Reporting Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees. All hyperlinks and web addresses shown in this document are current as of the publication date of this standard.

Keywords: *DNA mixture, mixture interpretation, comparison, protocols, policy, procedure, internal validation, best practices*

Abstract: This document describes requirements for a laboratory's DNA interpretation and comparison protocol and provides direction for its development. The goal is for the laboratory to consistently produce reliable, repeatable and reproducible interpretations and conclusions that are supported by internal validation data.

Table of Contents

1 Scope	
2 Normative References	
3 Terms and Definitions	
4 Requirements	
5 Conformance	
Annex A (informative) Foundational Principals	
Annex B (normative) Requirements—Supporting Information	
Annex C (informative) Bibliography	

DRAFT

Standard for Forensic DNA Interpretation and Comparison Protocols

1 Scope

This document provides requirements for a laboratory's DNA interpretation and comparison protocol. A protocol is needed for any DNA testing methodology that includes data interpretation and/or comparison. The protocol should encompass all variables permitted in the technical protocols that may have an impact on the data generated and the variety and range of test data anticipated in casework based on the types of samples routinely accepted and tested in the laboratory.

2 Normative References

There are no normative references for this standard. Annex C, Bibliography contains informative references.

3 Terms and Definitions

3.1 comparison

The process of examining two or more DNA data sets to assess the degree of similarity or difference.

3.2 evidentiary data

Data derived from biological specimens of unknown source.

3.3 internal validation

1) In general, the accumulation of test data within the laboratory for developing the laboratory standard operating procedures and demonstrating that the established protocols for the technical steps of the test and for data interpretation perform as expected in the laboratory. 2) In the context of probabilistic genotyping, the accumulation of test data within the laboratory to demonstrate that established parameters, software settings, formulae, algorithms and mathematical functions perform as expected; and that the information/results/data obtained is correct and consistent with expected values.

3.4 interpretation

The process of evaluating DNA data for purposes including, but not limited to, defining assumptions related to mixtures and single source profiles, distinguishing between alleles and artifacts, assessing the possibility of degradation, inhibition, and stochastic effects, and determining whether the data are suitable for comparison.

3.5 reference data

Data derived from biological specimens of a known individual.

3.6 stochastic effects

Changes in a DNA profile that generally occur when suboptimal or limiting quantities of DNA are tested. This may be due to sampling variation (e.g., pipetting) of the target DNA that goes into the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and/or random events between primers and target DNA during PCR amplification. The effects may be observed at one or more loci, and include: 1) peak height imbalance of sister alleles in a heterozygous pair; 2) loss of data (referred to as “allele drop out” when one or more alleles are missing at a locus and “locus drop out” when all alleles are missing from a locus); 3) allele drop-in [allelic peak(s) in an electropherogram that are not reproducible]; and 4) elevated stutter peaks.

3.7 unsuitable for comparison (uninterpretable)

Data that cannot be used for comparisons for reasons including, but not limited to, poor or limited data quality, mixture complexity, or a failure to meet quality assurance requirements.

4 Requirements

4.1 The laboratory interpretation protocols and comparison protocols, including criteria for drawing conclusions from comparisons between evidentiary data and reference (or other evidentiary) data, shall be based on, developed from, and supported by internal validation studies and may be supplemented with published scientific literature or other appropriate scientific resources, where available.

4.2 The laboratory shall maintain and follow documented DNA interpretation protocols that address the following.

4.2.1 When DNA data should be interpreted as originating from a single source versus multiple sources.

4.2.2 When assumptions may be made and the types of assumptions that may be used in data interpretation, including but not limited to the number of contributors and the presence of assumed contributors.

4.2.3 The evaluation of other considerations used in the interpretation of the data, such as the presence of major and minor contributors, the possibility of allele sharing, the relative mixture ratio for contributors, the possibility of inhibition or degradation for one or more contributors, the possibility of stochastic effects, and the presence of stutter.

4.2.4 The limitations of the interpretation methods used (e.g., characterizing and defining the maximum number of contributors, issues associated with low-level data, low-level contributors and potential contamination events, etc.).

4.2.5 What constitutes interpretable data versus data that are unsuitable for comparison/uninterpretable.

4.3 The laboratory shall have a documented policy requiring the interpretation of evidentiary data prior to the comparison to any reference data.

4.3.1 Interpretation of evidentiary data shall include documentation of the suitability of the single source or DNA mixture data for comparison.

4.3.1.1 If the data or a subset of the data [e.g., major contributor(s)] are deemed suitable for comparison, the loci eligible for use in the comparison and in a subsequent statistical calculation(s) shall be documented in the case record.

4.3.1.2 If the data or a subset of the data [e.g., minor contributor(s)] are deemed unsuitable for comparison, the qualitative reason(s) shall be documented in the case record.

4.3.2 The subsequent interpretation of new evidentiary data shall occur independently of comparison to the previously generated reference data.

4.3.3 When an assumption of an expected contributor is used for interpretation, the use of that assumption shall be documented in the case record along with the DNA profile of the assumed contributor.

4.4 The laboratory shall maintain and follow documented protocols for drawing conclusions from the comparison of suitable evidentiary data derived from single source, mixed, and limited quality/quantity samples to reference (or other evidentiary) data.

4.4.1 Laboratory protocols shall describe the criteria used for concluding that the source of the reference data is included, excluded, or inconclusive when compared to evidentiary data when those terms are used by the laboratory. If a comparison is deemed inconclusive, the reason(s) shall be documented in the case record.

4.4.2 The laboratory shall have protocols that address re-evaluation of evidentiary data after the comparison to reference (or other evidentiary) data has been performed. All re-evaluations of and changes to the original evidentiary data interpretation shall be thoroughly documented within the case record.

5 Conformance

Documentation demonstrating conformance with the requirements described will be approved by the laboratory's DNA Technical Leader or other appropriate personnel and will be made readily available in hard copy and/or electronic format for review.

Annex A
(informative)

Foundational Principles

The evaluation and interpretation of any DNA data, from single individuals as well as from DNA mixtures, and the comparison of that data to other DNA data are critical components of all forensic DNA testing. Detailed protocols for the interpretation and comparison of DNA data based on sound validation studies provide test results and conclusions that are reliable and consistent to customers of forensic science service providers.

DRAFT

Annex B (normative)

Requirements—Supporting Information

It is the intent of this document that any DNA data: 1) that fall outside the acceptable range of the interpretation and/or comparison method employed; 2) for which no suitable/appropriate documented protocol exists; or 3) for which no suitable internal validation studies exist to support the method, will not be interpreted or compared by the laboratory until the standards are sufficiently met and approved by the appropriate authority(ies) within the laboratory. Having an adequately detailed protocol tightly connected to internal validation studies that addresses the expected variables of DNA data ensures more consistent and reliable interpretation, comparison, and reporting by all members of the laboratory.

The following information is provided to aid both the personnel responsible for developing the DNA interpretation protocols for the laboratory and anyone responsible for assessing if the requirements are sufficiently met by the laboratory. It is recognized that each laboratory performing DNA testing has individual case and sample acceptance policies and uses different technologies, methods, and protocols to generate DNA data. While each of the requirements listed shall be addressed in the development and use of the laboratory interpretation protocol(s), the approaches used, the type of data evaluated, and the details of the protocols will vary between laboratories.

This document is organized in a manner intended to mirror the chronology of DNA data interpretation. First, DNA data interpretation and comparison protocols are developed from validation data (Section 4.1), after which the interpretation protocols are applied to evidentiary DNA data. In casework analyses, the DNA data from evidentiary samples will be assessed to determine whether the data (either in part or as a whole) are interpretable, or unsuitable for comparison (Section 4.2). This assessment shall be performed independently of any comparisons to reference data (Section 4.3). Once DNA data (or a portion thereof) have been deemed suitable for comparison, comparisons may be performed to reference or other evidentiary data (Section 4.4). When comparisons are made to reference data, one of three conclusions may be drawn: (1) The source of the reference data is included as a possible contributor to the DNA data; (2) the source of the reference data is excluded as a contributor to the DNA data; or (3) no conclusion can be drawn as to whether the source of the reference data is or is not a possible contributor to the DNA data (the comparison is inconclusive due to insufficient criteria to either include or exclude). Additional details pertaining to specific standards are described below.

Section 4.1 - This section is intended for use in conjunction with “Standards for Validation Studies of DNA Mixtures and the Development and Verification of a Laboratory’s Mixture Interpretation Protocol,” “Validation Standards for Probabilistic Genotyping Systems” and the “Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories.” Additional guidance is available in the SWGDAM “Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing by Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories” (see Bibliography).

Section 4.2.5 - Samples in their entirety or an unresolvable subset of the data (e.g., multiple minor contributors to a mixture with a single major contributor) may be determined to be uninterpretable and therefore not suitable for comparison.

Section 4.3.1.2 – When making this determination, the qualitative reasons for reaching this conclusion shall be documented in the case record. These qualitative reasons may include, but are not limited to, data that are *too limited* due to the possibility of allelic drop-out, degradation, preferential amplification, and/or masking of minor alleles by the major profile or in stutter positions; data that are *too complex* due to the total number of possible contributors present, the possibility of allele sharing between multiple contributors, and/or the possibility of allelic dropout of lower level contributors; and/or contamination or control failure.

Section 4.3.2 - It is recognized that the analysis of supplemental evidentiary data may occur after the reference data have been interpreted. The analysis and interpretation of the new evidentiary data shall occur prior to and be independent of comparison to the previously generated reference data.

Section 4.4.1.2 – The ambiguity of whether reference data are represented in the evidentiary data may result in the inability to draw a conclusion from the comparison. There are multiple possible causes for an inconclusive comparison, which may include qualitative factors (e.g., alleles being in stutter positions, masking or sharing of alleles, allelic dropout, inhibition, or degradation) or uninformative statistical values, as defined by the laboratory. When making this determination, the underlying reasons for reaching this conclusion shall be documented in the case record.

Section 4.4.2 – After completion of the initial evaluation and interpretation of evidentiary data, additional DNA data may be used as a basis for re-interpretation (e.g., the use of a non-sperm fraction to inform a sperm-fraction interpretation, learning that one of the known or possible contributors is tri-allelic or has a null allele at a locus, some of the possible contributors are related and/or the extent of degradation of the DNA for one contributor). Any re-interpretation of evidentiary data after comparison shall be documented in the case record, to include the reasons for the re-interpretation.

Annex C
(informative)

Bibliography

- 1] Federal Bureau of Investigation, *Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories*, available at <https://www.swgdam.org/publications>
- 2] Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods, *Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing by Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories*, available at <https://www.swgdam.org/publications>

DRAFT

DRAFT



Academy Standards Board
410 North 21st Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

<http://asb.aafs.org/>